Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

*Total of _

forms are submitted.

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)	
		92654-008	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application Number		Filed
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	09/997,273		NOV. 30, 2001
on	First Named Inventor		
Signature	JAMES G. GATTO		
	Art Unit	Examiner	
Typed or printed name	3693	1	KIRSTEN S. APPLE
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the			151/
applicant/inventor.		Juns	Signature
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)		JAMES G. GATTO	
		Typed or printed name	
attorney or agent of record. Registration number		703-770-7754	
		Telephone number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		October 16, 2007	
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34			Date
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: James G. Gatto

Application No.: 09/997,273 Group Art Unit: 3693

Filed: November 30, 2001 Examiner: Kirsten Sachwitz Apple

For: System and Method for Automatic Analysis of Rate Information

ATTACHMENT SHEETS TO PRE-APPEAL BRIEF CONFERENCE REQUEST

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated April 16, 2007 ("Office Action"), Appellant hereby requests that a panel of examiners formally review the legal and factual basis of the rejections in the above-identified application prior to the filing of an appeal brief. This request is being concurrently filed with a Notice of Appeal. The review is requested for the reasons provided below.

This Request is accompanied by a Petition for a three-month extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) and authorization to charge \$525 extension of time fee to Deposit Account 033975. It is believed that no additional fees are required, however the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 033975 (Ref. No. 92654-008).

APPEALED REJECTION

Appellant traverses and appeals the rejections of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. §102.

ARGUMENTS FOR TRAVERSAL

The Section 102 rejection is traversed because Roberts fails to disclose each element of the independent claims 1 and 14. For example, Roberts does not disclose a multi-factor rate analysis system for analyzing on a per user basis, savings suggestions based on rate plan information, user's prior usage information and user preference(s) as recited (e.g., claim 1). Roberts does not disclose using user preference information in determining if a better rate plan is available for a particular user based on the user's preferences (e.g., claims 1 and 14). The Examiner refers to item 510 of Fig. 6 for the multi factor rate analysis system, (Office Action at p. 5), but this text merely says "prepare comparison report." This does not meet the claim elements. The Examiner alleges that Roberts discloses a "user preference" at Fig. 5, item 416 (Office Action at p. 3). Element 416 merely says "performance analysis".

Many of the dependent claims include separate patenatable features as well, but they need not be addressed here.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the panel return a decision concurring with Appellant's position that the pending rejection of each of claims 1-26 constitutes legal error and should be reversed. Notice to that effect is anticipated.

Please charge any fees associated with the submission of this paper to Deposit Account Number 033975. The Commissioner for Patents is also authorized to credit any over payments to the above-referenced Deposit Account.

Date: OCTOBER 16, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

James G. Gatto

Registration No. 32,694

(703) 770-7754